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Author's response to reviews:

Answer to the Reviewer's Comments  
(Ms. Ref. No.: 2041968497966001-r2)

Dear Editor

Please, find a revision of our manuscript entitled 'Telehealth System (e-CUIDATE) to Improve the Quality of Life in Breast Cancer Survivors: Rationale and study protocol for a randomized clinical trial'. We would like to thank the Reviewer for their thoughtful and constructive comments. We have considered all suggestions, and have either incorporated them into the revised manuscript. Changes to the original manuscript are highlighted (in yellow background), and we believe our manuscript is stronger as a result of the modifications. An itemized point-by-point response to the Reviewers’ comments is presented below.

Reviewer 1

Comment

Background in abstract: (new highlighted section). Saying that "recent work has analyzed" doesn't make much sense. This sentence should read "recent work has shown that exercise adapted to individual characteristics of patients is related to improved overall and disease-free survival"

Response

Change was done following Editor comment.
Comment
The same comment applies to first sentence of the fourth paragraph of the background section, which should also be changed to read "recent work has shown" rather than analyzed.
Response
Done.

Comment
The additional sentence clarifying the length of the follow-up period (first paragraph of the Research design and methods section) does not make much sense in English. I would suggest changing the wording so that the sentence reads "Follow-up measurements will be carried out 24 weeks after the end of the 8 week intervention period, giving a total trial data collection period of 32 weeks."
Response
Done.

Comment
I would suggest rewording the second sentence of the "Videoconference facility through e-CUIDATE" section to read "A single point of contact between patients and therapists will be located in university facilities and staffed by two full-time researchers. This will ensure that all patients receive standardized and comparable feedback and advice."
Response
Done.
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