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Reviewer's report:

Some of the pages were not numbered, so have been completed.
Here are some minor editorial suggestions to improve the manuscript.
1. P(age) 3, p(aragraph) 1, l(ine) 8. Drop [in order] in front of [to] as the words are redundant in English. Also P 4, p 3, l 12.
2. P 4, p 2, l 2. Insert [to] between [help] and [other].
3. P 5, p 1, l 4. Insert [and resolved] between [discussed] and [and].
4. P 14 ff. Trials like to publish all authors up to 30 before invoking [et al]. So more authors should be added for R(eference)s 7, 9, and 36.
5. P 15, R 20 and 22. The use of punctuation with initials is not consistent with the rest of the Rs.
6. P 18, column 3. There are many instances where the use of [and/or] should be reduced to [or] as or logically includes and. Use of [and/or] is ambiguous; 7 times.