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Dear Members of the Editorial Board,

Dear Professor Goldsmith,

Hereby we submit the third revision of our manuscript.
We have applied the suggested minor changes and hope that the manuscript fulfills the criteria for publication.

Sincerely yours,

Ulrich Wellner, MD
First Author

Prof. Dr. med. Tobias Keck; MBA FACS FEBS
Senior Author
Reviewer's report

Title: Pancreatogastrostomy versus pancreatojejunostomy for RECONstruction after partial PANCreatoduodenectomy - A randomized controlled trial (RECOPANC)

Version: 3 Date: 1 February 2012

Reviewer: Charlie Goldsmith

Reviewer's report:

The pages were numbered from 1 to 18.

1. The addition of two short forms mentioned in the last review were claimed by the authors to have been changed, yet on page 10, they are not as yet in the list. Please include [EDC] and [ICAr] in the list.

The desired corrections have been made.

2. P 8, last paragraph. Include the date the first patient was randomized. This is important for many journals when the results are published, so should be included in the protocol if it has already happened, as the authors claim.

The desired corrections have been made.