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Title: Evaluating the effectiveness of GP endorsement on increasing participation in the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme in England: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial

Please find described in this document the changes made to the manuscript following editorial requests.

1. Title: please ensure that the title conforms to journal style for study protocol articles. The title should follow the format "________: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial".

We were advised in the email informing us that the paper had been accepted for publication that the title needed to be changed to conform to the journal style. We have changed the title for the paper, which now reads: Evaluating the effectiveness of GP endorsement on increasing participation in the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme in England: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial.

2. Please ensure that your study protocol is formatted as outlined in our instructions for authors. Please make sure to include all sections listed and to order them correctly. Figures and tables should not be included in the main body of the manuscript.

We have ensured that the structure and formatting of the protocol is as outlined in the instructions for authors. In doing this, we have reordered the competing interests statement, authors’ contributions text and acknowledgements so that they appear in the correct order.

3. Ensure that the title is identical in the manuscript and on the submission system.

We have now ensured that this has been done.

4. Author list: the list of authors in the manuscript should be written exactly as they are in the submission system, both in style and order.

We have now done this.

5. Abstract: the abstract should be identical in the manuscript and the submission system, including the Trial Registration number. At present, it is not.

We have ensured that the abstract in the manuscript and in the submission system is the same text.

6. Typography: Check the manuscript for any typographical errors and make any final corrections or revisions.

No typographical correction was required.