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Reviewer's report:

The changes to the manuscript have been very good and the whole paper is now much clearer and easier to understand.

However, the reporting of the some measures is still unsatisfactory and I recommend the following:

Major Compulsory Revisions

Table 1
1. Report the units of all measures

Table 2A
2. Try to report exact numbers wherever possible for the fidelity measures (e.g. how many participants reported worries about relatives? etc.).
3. Report the scale (and anchor points) for ratings of perceived consequences.
4. What are the anchor points of the 1-10 scale for the generic rating of acceptability?
5. I think the appreciation of the 'separate modules scale' has been labelled wrongly.
6. Report the scale and anchor points for the action plan measures.
7. I think the 'action plan usefulness' scale has been labelled wrongly, should 1=disagree, 4= agree?
8. Report Scale and anchor points for ALL items in this table!

Minor Revisions

See uploaded manuscript for minor changes to language and punctuation.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a
statistician.