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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

thank you for accepting the article and apologies that it was not correctly formatted. My understanding is that this was due to the tables not being as required. I now attach the two tables as separate .xls files. I have gone through the document accepting the track changes which were in the last version. I have made two small changes, one to do the initials of one of the co-authors, the other amending the final to read as follows: "Finally, we acknowledge that the number of randomised trials says nothing about the quality or size of such trials. One good or large trial may be more valuable than several poor or much smaller trials. Assessing the quality or size of trials are however topics for further study." The change has to do with adding "or large' and 'or size".

I hope this now meets your requirements.

Best wishes

James Raftery