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Author's response to reviews:

Cover letter 14.2.2011:

Dear Dr. Goldsmith,

we thank you for the detailed comments and the suggestions for improvement.

We revised the manuscript according to your comments

Point-by-point answer to the comments:

ORCHID authors

“The pages were numbered from 1 to 30”

There are 22 pages and they were not numbered
- the 22 pages are numbered now - did I understand you correctly ?? , which 30 pages do you mean?

"Recent“ fades with time, while the dates are specific.
- revised

2. P 11, p 7, l 5. Replace [population] by [group]. No trial has a population as not all patients are admitted.
- revised

3. P 12, p 6, l 3. Replace [significant] by [clinically important]. Reserve
"significance" for testing hypotheses.

- revised

4. P 13, p 4, l 1. Delete [means of] since the words are redundant in English.

- deleted

5. P 19 ff. References 33, 42 and 50 should be translated into English and the English title placed in [square brackets] with the (language) listed in round brackets.

- references are translated and the language is mentioned

Sincerely

Christoph Bartl