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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to view the revised manuscript.

The authors have responded appropriately to the points raised in my original report with one exception. I mention it again here because on re-reading the manuscript, the sentence in question remains unclear to me and I feel an important point is being lost in an unwieldy sentence.

The authors’ letter indicates that they have addressed this point but it has not changed in the revised manuscript. For their interest, should they wish to consider it again - the sentence now appears on page 13 (page 14 in the document with tracked changes), and is the second sentence of the 'implications' section, sentence beginning "focussing on individuals...."

I leave it up to the authors whether they change this sentence or not but I feel it would be helpful to the reader.
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