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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editors,

We have amended the manuscript in the light of the feedback and identified the changes in red font. We set out below our response to the issue raised.

The comment from the reviewer was:
The authors have not adequately addressed the comments on method of randomisation, specifically sequence generation and allocation concealment.

Our response is:
We have rewritten the paragraph on registration and randomisation to cover this point, as shown in red font on the revised version.

We hope the manuscript is now acceptable for publication, and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Karen Bryan
Corresponding Author