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Reviewer's report:

For the most part, the replies provided by the authors were handled quite well and were incorporated into the revised manuscript. However, some were not.

1. Previous comment 15. Suggest incorporating the last sentence and website in the manuscript as it provides a level of credibility that is not currently in the manuscript, ie, [CHaRT is ... to the website].

2. Previous comment 17 was NOT done and should be.

Suggestions on the revised manuscript.

3. Title page under Current status. Suggest rewriting the last date as either [08-January-2009] or [01-August-2009] to correspond to the earlier date on the same line; whichever is correct.

4. P(age) 2, p(aragraph) 1, l(ine) 12. Put the comma between the reference citations on the same line as the reference numbers.

5. P 3, p 4, l 1. Replace [hypothesis] by [hypotheses] since there are 3 of them.


7. P 5, p 2, l 2,3. Suggest providing citations to these two agencies and a website for each if possible.

8. P 6, p 4, l 10. Why not add this NICE reference to the reference list?

9. P 7, p 4, l 5. Insert a space between [at] and [stricter].

10. P 7, p 6, l 1. Replace [population] by [patient], since you will not likely get all patients to consent!


12. P 9, under Trial steering committee l 1. Suggest making [chair] into [Chair] and P 17 Appendix 4, last p suggest replacing [Chairman] by [Chair] to be consistent and gender neutral.

13. P 16, p 1, l 2. Suggest inserting [a] between [as] and [population] or making [survey] plural to read [surveys].