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**Reviewer's report:**

I have reviewed this protocol. It contains all of the necessary information. However, I am concerned that the sample size is based on what appears to be an extremely large difference (20% versus 70%). It would be helpful if the authors could cite their rationale for selecting this difference.

Also, could you indicate in the publication that ethical approval has been obtained?