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Editor, *Trials*

Dear editor:

I, on behalf of all authors, would like to thank you and the reviewers of “TRIALS”. As always, you and your editorial staff have provided us with a comprehensive and prompt review. Many of the valuable and constructive points that the reviewers pointed out, were well taken by all authors. After going over the reviewers’ comments, my colleagues and I have made revisions in hopes of improving our paper.

We have tried our best to abide by the recommendations and comments of the reviewers. We believe that these revisions greatly strengthen our manuscript and hope that this better meets the requirements of your journal. We thank you again for your constructive and detailed review, and will be waiting for good news in near future.

Sincerely yours,

Woo-Young Chung M.D. PhD.

Associate Professor
Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine
Seoul National University College of Medicine
Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center
Shindaebang 2-Dong 425, Boramae Gil 39, Dongjak-Gu, Seoul, 156-707, Korea
Tel : 82-2-870-2218, Fax : 82-2-870-3866
E-mail : wychung@paran.com
Authors’ response to the Reviewer’s comments

Reviewer:

Specific comments to the author:

1. The authors should provide the assumptions for the outcome rate of CIN so that their power calculation can be replicated.

   Sorry for your inconvenience. We added the primary hypothesis as recommended (Page 5, line 14-15).

2. There are minor grammatical errors throughout the manuscript, which should be corrected by careful proof reading.

   Thank you for your comments. We revised some grammatical errors as recommended (Page 2; line 7, line 14, line 20), (Page 5; line 9), (Page 6, line 22), (Page 9, line 21).