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Reviewer’s report:

Manuscript: Determinants of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria during pregnancy (IPTp) utilization in rural town in western Nigeria.

This manuscript entitled “Determinants of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria during pregnancy (IPTp) utilization in rural town in western Nigeria” is important. The findings may have a significant impact on the maternal and child health services. The research question is pertinent. The methods are detailed. Also, this may inform the policies with regards to the malaria prevention in developing countries. We acknowledge that the authors did an significant efforts to conduct the study and to develop this manuscript. However, we have some comments and questions to the authors:

Major Compulsory Revisions:
None

Minor Essential Revisions:
1. The authors mentioned in the abstract section that the study is “an analytical cross sectional study”, in the methods section the study design is “descriptive cross sectional study”. For consistency purpose the authors should specify one design

2. In the methods section, sampling technique line number 7: “A total of 47 women was calculated”, what the authors mean by this sentence?

3. We recommend additional work on editing: methods section, study design the last sentence ends without full point; some sentences end by coma (eg.third sentence in the Methods section, research Instrument). Please check all the section related to the research instrument we can find full stop next to question mark or comma next to question mark…

4. What were the limitations for this study? We think the authors should raise the limitations, the study was conducted at the health facilities, and this may lead to selection bias as the situation of pregnant women who do not attend the health facility is not known. In addition, the fact that the authors didn’t conduct the multivariate analysis could be among the limitations as well.

5. Conclusion: the last two sentences seemed too affirmative . They should for example “ This study highlights the importance of community...”. The authors didn’t conduct the study assessing the impact of the community health education on the uptake of the IPTp. Since the multivariate analysis was not conducted to
control others confounding factors, it will be risky to make such affirming sentences. Are the authors speculating or confirming? Given the findings of this study, the authors should speculate and not confirm. Anyway, the data presented in this manuscript couldn’t show with sufficient power the impact of the health education on the health services, especially the uptake of the IPTp.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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