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Dear Editor,

We deeply appreciate the reviewer’s comments, and a complete revision of this article was performed. Title, abstract, background, methods, as well as the conclusion were updated according to peer review suggestions. After a close revision of the article background was rewritten, and objectives were input in the end of background section. The aim of this study was also inserted into abstract. Methods were more accurately described, and t-test results are shown on table 2. Clarifications on 5 phases of PSRI development were done, in order to facilitate the replication of this work. Concerned to the comments: “… authors refer that the new data collection instrument that they developed is a semi-structured questionnaire. I did not understand this statement as all questions are open-ended”, we disagree, since the semi-structured questionnaire means the questions are neither fully open-ended, nor totally closed. This type of questionnaire gives tools to the health care professional to access and to discuss sexuality with pregnant women. Comments of DISCUSSION section were accepted, and discussion rewritten too. Finally, according to the referee comments the role text was redone. We hope it is clarified and easy to understand and to be reproduced.
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