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Reviewer’s report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Better to summarise the elements of strict protocol as a table, maybe together with the previous protocol (if exist). This will ease the reading and understanding as compared to the narrative as it is now.

2. Results section, first para: it is better to provide case-fatality rates instead of MMR for complications of hypertension - if possible.

3. Provide the relative risks of comparisons in table 2 - with their confidence intervals

In addition needs very careful English editing

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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