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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?
The question posed is new, well defined and of significance to a country that faces low fertility rates.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?

3. Are the data sound and well controlled?
It is a cross sectional study and the online survey was not well described in terms of methodological components such as survey piloting, sampling and distribution.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
This study has the limitation of qualitative survey’s

6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes.

7. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

NB The research seems to have been presented as Poster in ESHRE 2014. Should reference be made to this?

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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