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Reviewer's report:

1. Major Compulsory Revisions
   - In the abstract “background” not highlight your study title. Only the author states the objective. So please highlight the introduction here.
   - In abstract method part—your main study and pilot used convenience??? sampling. Sampling method is not clearly described?
   - On the introduction part of the manuscript; the author simply describes literature reviews without rephrasing. It needs thorough reading and intensive editing. The introduction in detail describes about violence but the objective of this study is to develop measurement scales. What has been done regarding to scale of measurement to determine violence against infertile women? What has not been done to solve the problem? What will your research contributes to close the gap in the area of reproductive health problem (violence)? What it will contribute to promote policy makers decision, clinicians decision or researchers recommendation.
   - On the methods part of the manuscript. What was your sampling procedure? The method of sample size determination was not well described? The author said 100 samples as poor and 200 samples as fair… etc. So how 166 samples were adequate to estimate the reliability? It needs clarification. How many literatures were reviewed to construct the items?
   - Result part of the manuscript:- the author write relative frequency or numbers after full stop which is incorrect. So please correct it. I didn’t see the external validity in the manuscript. The author reports the internal validity only. So how can you report as valid scale?

2. Minor Essential Revisions
   - The manuscript needs through read and reread to edit grammatical and editorial errors intensively
   - Avoid acronyms in the abstract part
   - Please cite the reference according to the journal format/style
   - On competing interest “we” but manuscript has a sole author.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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