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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Mick Aulakh, asstant editor

Hereby I submit the revised manuscript.

* As you requested we changed the abstract of the manuscript in order to structure it according to the guidelines of BMC Medicine debate articles. By doing this, we added new sentences in the Discussion and Summary section. The Abstract now counts 212 words and is structured into separate sections headed Background, Discussion, Summary.

* We scrutinized the main text in order to check whether the formatting is right. We noticed that we did not used the headings of BMC Medicine debate articles. Therefore, we structured the main text with Background, Discussion, and Summary.

* We added a Author Contribution statement

* Last, we checked the references and corrected several errors in the formatting.

We hope that the manuscript is now completely formatted conform the BMC Medicine guidelines for Debate articles. If there are still ommissions, please let us know. We are very willing to correct them.

We hope that our manuscript is acceptable for publications. We look forward to your response.

With best wishes,

Thomas

Thomas Plochg, Ph.D. M.Sc.
Postdoc health services researcher