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Author’s response to reviews:

Please note that the page numbers you indicated in the description of the copyedited changes were in part not correct; nevertheless, it wasn’t a problem to find the respective changes and to check them.
The changes I made in the manuscript:
(1) Changing heading of former section ‘Conclusion’ to ‘Discussion’; no changes made in the text.
(2) Inserting a section headed ‘Conclusion’ after section ‘Discussion’; adding a few lines of new text to this section.
(3) P. 6 line 4/5: the sentence which had been refrased by the editor was refrased again.
(4) P. 16, ‘Discussion’, point (2) which had been refrased by the editor was refrased again.
(5) P. 12 line 7 and 10: after re-checking the p-values I changed them from ‘p = 0.000’ into ‘p < 0.001’
(6) P. 15, line 13 - 15: after re-checking the p-values I changed them from ‘p = 0.000’ into ‘p < 0.001’