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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined? yes; the objective has been revisited and is now much better
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work? yes; the authors performed an environmental scan.
3. Are the data sound and well controlled? yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? as stated in my previous review, the authors could have used the PRISMA reporting guidelines which suits well an environmental scan.
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? yes it has improved
6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? no; the title should include: an environmental scan
7. Is the writing acceptable? yes

- Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct):
  add environmental scan

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests