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Reviewer's report:

The revised submission has improved and addressed some comments raised before. But there are still some questions for the authors according to my original comments.

#
1a and 1b. Amazing, both “new tables” were mentioned by authors but not found in the current submission I received.

#
2c. The ordinal logistic regression was used. Whether the related statistical assumption of this non traditional binary logistical model was checked and met?

#
4c. Not as author’s claim. No decimal points were actually added to the Mean and SD of age.

#
5c. Not as author’s claim. Table 2 legend just listed covariates, but not actually specified which one is statistically significant.

5d. The similar changes in decimal points should also be consistently reflected in the whole text, e.g., Abstract, not just in the Table 2 but not in Table 3. It was not about simply adding additional decimal point issue. Actually in Table 2, three estimated OR values were changed in both direction and significance level in this revised version, compared to previous version.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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