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Reviewer's report:

Dapagliflozin add-on to metformin in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled 102-week trial

My comments

1. The question posed by the authors is new and well defined
2. The methods are appropriate and well described, and sufficient details are provided to replicate the work
3. The data are sound and well controlled
4. The manuscript does adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition
5. The discussion and conclusions are well balanced and adequately supported by the data
6. The title conveys what the study is but does not convey the findings. The abstract accurately conveys what has been found
7. The writing is acceptable

I have only two comments:

If word count allows would be nice to get the weight reduction data into the abstract but this is not essential

There are no p values that I can see on tables 1 and 3. For example there is clearly a significant drop in uric acid but not sodium in table 3 - why no overt stats on this either in the table or the text?

The authors should either justify this to the editor's satisfaction or change the manuscript accordingly

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.