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Reviewer’s report:

Major comments
1. The authors do not include in the manuscript the “methods” section. They should provide information regarding the manner they performed the search of the literature i.e. the key words and the inclusion/exclusion criteria they used for the existing studies. Besides there must be an evaluation of the effect of different study designs (double blind, observational, prospective, retrospective studies) before a final assessment could be concluded.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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