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Reviewer's report:

I’m sorry to bother still with quality assessment and the grade of evidence. There exist methods for assessing the grade of evidence of different kind of study designs. See e.g. the paper of Shea et al. (Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007; 7:10). I will include an appendix which describes one possible method of the QAT for cross-sectional studies.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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