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Cover letter

Zaragoza, 31 July 2012

Dear Editor,

Please, find attached a new revised version of our manuscript entitled “Cycling and bone health: a systematic review.” to be reconsidered for publication in your prestigious Journal. Manuscript ID: 9115166637274345.

We would like to thank the editorial assessment the final recommendations given. We have addressed the issues you enquired us in your email:

Point 1) We have added a sentence in the methods section and we included the records obtained in SportDiscus in the figure 1.

Point 2) We had previously addressed this issue, as you can see in page 8 highlighted in yellow; explaining that DXA is the most used, but not the best method to assess some parameters of bone strength. However, if the Editor thinks something else is needed we could explain in more detail.

Point 3) We have now stated the limitations and potential bias of our study in the limitations section to adhere more to the PRISMA and MOOSE statements.

All authors have read and approved the changes made in the manuscript, are responsible for the reported research and have contributed significantly to the revision.

Sincerely yours

On behalf of all the co-authors.

Alejandro González-Agüero