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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor-in-chief,

First of all we must seize the opportunity and give our esteem for productive comments of you and the reviewers. We have tried to respond to and implement the reviewer’s comments in the paper.

In response to Steven Wooding's comments:

Essential Minor Revisions:

1- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 4, paragraph 3, line 7).
2- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 5, paragraph 2).
3- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 8, paragraph 6, line 9).
4- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 9, paragraph 3, line 2).
5- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 9, paragraph 3, line 6).
6- Changes were made in line with the reviewer’s comments (page 12, paragraph 3, line 6).
7- We add the reference and delete sentence that have coded the Global Health Forum 4 in Bangkok since there is no Internet direct access to the report at the moment. The Ad Hoc Committee for Health Research was established within WHO in 1990's to respond such a gap. This committee developed a special program on strengthening of health policy and systems. One of the main approaches was a five-step priority-setting approach. Establishment of the Global Forum for Health Research was another international initiative to approach this need. This is an international forum to review health research priorities and respond to 10/90 gap. The Council for Health Research and Development (COHRED) is another international initiative which developed the idea of
Essential National Health Research (ENHR) agendas framework for countries including priority-setting. There are so many developing and few developed countries that have followed the ENHR strategies. While we are also pessimistic regarding the achievements of these global efforts for closing 10/90 gap, the scope of the present manuscript is not scrutinizing this strategy. The explanation is just that now assessing economic pay back of the health researches should be considered as a strategy in health research systems.

Sincerely Yours,

Bahareh Yazdizadeh