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Reviewer's report:

This version of the manuscript is greatly improved and requires only minor modifications.

1. In the Discussion section ergometrine is incorrectly spelled as ergomentrine in a couple of instances. This needs to be checked and changed.

2. In the second paragraph of the discussion section the last sentence is confusing and appears to be referring to this study rather than citing another study. It should be reworded.

3. In the discussion section paragraph that begins “The U.S. Pharmacopeia….”, there is a sentence that begins, “The facilites that stored oxytocin…….”. This sentence is very confusing and should be reworded.

4. In the Conclusion section, the sentence that begins “The drugs for AMTSL….“ “was” should be replaced with “were”.

5. Table 2 needs to be reformatted to not split the column headings. Also I do not understand why there is a number below numbers in the column for Ns in the same table

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.