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Reviewer's report:

General

- The authors have responded to all of my comments.
- The authors have improved the paper significantly.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

- None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

- None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

- The authors repeatedly use the phrase "studies and projects" but it's not clear what is gained by "and projects."
- In the second paragraph under "what other organizations are doing?" the authors may want to insert "their" before "local adaptation."

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.