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Reviewer's report:

The authors have made an effort to take the reviewers’ comments into account. Overall I am satisfied with the changes, although the Discussion is rather prolix.

Minor essential revisions are:-
p10 line 9: counsellors were selected (not ‘counsellors, where selected’)
p13 line 6: practised (not ‘practiced’)
p14 line 5: individual understanding of (not ‘individual take on’)
p16 bullet 2 heading: Technical oversight and training (not ‘Technical management…’)
p16 line 3 from end: peer counsellors’ technical competence (not ‘….counsellors technical competence’)
p17 line 1: also required the (not ‘also required of the’
p17 bullet 1 heading: Emotional support (not ‘Emotional management’)
p18 para 2 line 2: emotional support (not ‘emotional management’)
p18 para 2 line 3: ..to whom they were delivering the intervention (rather than ending sentence with ‘to’)
p19 bullet 1 heading: Safety considerations (not ‘Safety management’)
p20 para 3 line 3: embedded (not ‘imbedded’)
p23 para 3 line 2: losing staff (not ‘loosing staff’)
p24 bullet 1 lines 6/7: research team (not ‘research management’)
p25 line 7: research team (not ‘research management’)
p26 line 8: universally (not ‘unanimously’)
p26 para 2 line 2: data have (not ‘data has’)
p27 5 lines from end: they built (not ‘the built’)
p30 bullet 1: technical support (not ‘technical intervention management’)
p30 omit refs in ‘key messages’.
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