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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential Revisions:

p2: use of word invigorated is clumsy
p3. use of semicolons could be reviewed
p3. CHEWs are introduced without explaining what the abbreviation stands for

METHODS

p.6 although this is addressed on pg7, it would be better to say who collected the data directly alongside discussing data collection methods

TASK PROFILE

p.10 remove full stop in title of section
p.10 with respects to hygiene… should be with respect to
p.10 first sentence of 2nd paragraph appears to be missing a full stop to break up the sentence.

ADHERENCE

p.13. first sentence should read ..functioning on one CHW for every…
p.13. two fill stops after 3rd sentence but should only have one.
p.15 need space between also married

p.17 remove full stop after title "Management and Supervision"

GENERAL:

The formatting and ways that the quotes are labelled is inconsistent (some labelled for e.g. "CHW Interview No. 8" while others labelled "CHW interview 11"). Sometimes authors use the pound sign to indicate number, while other times they do not.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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