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Reviewer's report:

1. The level of English is better but there are still numerous errors.
   a. On page 8 is the phrase "expert scored 3 till 5 n=17" should be "experts scored between 3 and 5, n=17).
   b. On page 5 "Scientific literature was collected which identify methods..." should read "Scientific literature was collected which identified (or identifies) methods..."
2. On page 13 the scores are listed in the format 1,7 instead of 1.7
3. On page 8 under "Reviewing the literature" there is mixture of fonts
4. Throughout there sections that need less verbosity and general tightening.
5. In general the paper still need a good editor

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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