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Reviewer’s report:

I suggest additional minor, but important changes:
1- Discuss the limitations of the type of literature review conducted
2- Briefly, discuss the issue of whether their conclusion apply to low and middle-income countries.
3- Use Frequency instead of Timing. I did not find the argument to stick to Timing convincing at all

I also suggest that the a. review their response and indicate in detail what exactly they did to address the comments and suggestions by all reviewers.
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