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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
None

Minor Essential Revisions

some minor corrections to grammar, English language use:
- abstract: "have been done..".
p8 "This is mainly.." rather than "This is for a large part.."
p14. Sentence beginning "Because.." should be e.g "This is because.."

references need to be checked- eg ref 38 should be "Belgium", ref 39 is incomplete

Discretionary Revisions
n/a

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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