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Reviewer’s report:

Major compulsory revision

1. Details about participant consent and ethics committee approval must be included in the methods section

Discretionary revisions

1. I know this literature well and there is much that is left out. This is a surprise as it is claimed to be a systematic review. A look at the CAIPE journal could be beneficial.

2. There is nothing new in this work and this could be recognised by the authors. There are many versions of similar competency statements published especially in Canada so mostly of interest as confirmatory work. This is a good paper but not new information for those in the field.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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