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Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?

   Yes.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?

   Yes.

3. Are the data sound and well controlled?

   Yes.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?

   Yes.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?

   Yes.

6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?

   Yes.

7. Is the writing acceptable?

   Yes.

Would benefit from a careful re-read, for example:

   p. 4 “IVivo9” – Do you mean NVivo9?
   p. 5 “two –way”, should be “two–way”.

Overall a very nice piece of work, well-reported, that will have relevance for other Pacific Island countries.

Minor Essential Revisions

Typographical and other errors picked up through careful re-reading, as
illustrated above.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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