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**Reviewer’s report:**

1. General comments
   1.1 The manuscript is well presented and written in simple clear English Language.
   1.2 The research question posed by the authors is new and well defined.
   1.3 The methods used seems to be appropriate and well described.
   1.4 The data collecting instruments are clearly identified and describe. Data seems to be sound and well controlled
   1.5 the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition
   1.6 The discussion and conclusion seems to be well balanced and adequately supported by data.
   1.7 The title and abstract accurately convey what has been found

2. Major Compulsory Revision
   1.1 No major compulsory revision required.

3. Minor Essential Revisions
   2.1 No minor revisions required
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