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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions

The problem is stated at the end of the background, and a research question could be added.

The methodology well described. Add when data was collected. How long did it take to complete the questionnaire? Add the aspect of withdrawal under the ethical considerations.

The data is sound and well controlled and well presented.

The manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition.

The discussion is well integrated in the findings and supported by literature.

Abstract represents the content of the manuscript.

It is suggested that the title change to “The performance of healthcare workers under decentralisation in the Uganda health system. This will contribute to a clear focus of the article.

Well edited article.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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