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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined?
Yes

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work?
Yes. But can be improved by calculating sample size and defining the sampling procedures, questionnaire design, questionnaire validity and defining the relevance of the options of the questions and questions.

3. Are the data sound and well controlled?
Yes. Please find out the sample size and make sure the sample is adequate to infer about the population.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Yes

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
Discussion can be improved.

6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Yes

7. Is the writing acceptable?
Yes. After the minor revision.

Please go through the web references and make sure its in jour

Statistical review: The manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician - But can be used logistic regression where outcomes are binary

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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