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Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed a copy of our revised manuscript entitled “Study of the impact of perilipin polymorphisms in a French population” we propose as a Research article for the Journal of Negative results in Biomedicine.

Regarding the point raised by the referee, the referee is entirely right to say a GLM program would be better than ANOVA and in fact that is the procedure we used in the analyses for the group comparisons (tables 3 and 4). We made a mistake by calling this procedure “ANOVA” in the text. We made the correction accordingly (page 7). The adjusted means are indicated for the only parameter found significantly associated with the polymorphism i.e. plasma glucose concentrations as most of the researchers prefer to see non-adjusted means first (table 4).

Sincerely yours,

Aline Meirhaeghe, PhD