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**Reviewer’s report:**

**General comments:**
This manuscript reports a study of the effects of collection medium (plasma, serum and whole blood) and storage time on the concentrations of CNP and NTproCNP in humans. The study is well designed and well-written. The results of this study will be informative and useful for researchers working in the field of natriuretic peptide research. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted in its current form.

**Major Compulsory Revisions:**
None

**Minor Essential Revisions**
The author can be trusted to make these. For example, missing labels on figures, the wrong use of a term, spelling mistakes.

1. Please change the word “level” to “concentration” on the figure and throughout the manuscript.
2. The manuscript would benefit from proof-reading by a native English speaker.

**Discretionary Revisions:**

: none

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.