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Reviewer's report:

General
This is a very important review about the major issues concerning radiological informed consent. The matter is of great importance, both for patients, who often totally ignore the long-term risk of radiological examinations they undergo, and for doctors, who cannot be excused anymore for their unawareness on such basic topics for the entire community.

The paper is very well written and, in this reviewer’s opinion, ready for publication.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Page 1, line 5: "Intitute" is misspelled.

Page 3, line 17: "rather that" should be "rather than".

Page 7, line 15: Figure 9 does not exist, and it is not understandable which figure the text is referring to ("the associate proposed graph...").

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.