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April 8, 2010

Dear Editor:

Enclosed please find our revised paper entitled *Communicating Individual Chemical Exposures to Study Participants* that we are submitting for final consideration by *Environmental Health*. We have made all requested edits as noted in yellow highlight. To this end, we believe the paper is responsive to the requested changes.

As requested, a point-by-point response follows.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen A. Cooney, PhD, MPH
Corresponding Author
Response to Editor’s Comments
   1. We have made the requested formatting edits to the cover page.
   2. The abstract heading format has been made.
   3. Spaces have been removed between references.
   4. The vertical lines in the table have been removed.

Response to Reviewer #1 (J. Collins)
   1. No further revisions requested.

Response to Reviewer #2 (P. Brown)
   1. We have edited the text to clarify that the absence of response from women is not equivalent with no harm. See page 14.
   2. The sentence was edited – the word women was mistakenly written in lieu of the correct word – window. We apologize for this oversight. See page 12.