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Reviewer’s report:

As I had previously indicated to the editors, the authors did not provide a point-by-point response to each of my previous comments. The only thing I was given was a track changed manuscript. While some of the track changes/manuscript revisions were acceptable, there were numerous other issues that were not addressed.

After further detail, the study was poorly designed with a major experimental design flaw regarding the breakfast treatments varying in numerous dietary/nutritional components. Thus, limited conclusions can be made from this study. Although the overall topic is very important, the findings are insufficient and errored.

According to the preferred questions:

1.) Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined? The questions are appropriate but the experimental design is poor.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work? Sufficient detail is now given but the methodology is not appropriate.

3. Are the data sound and well controlled? Clearly not. There are too many covariates that have not been addressed.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? No

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? No

6. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Partially

7. Is the writing acceptable. There are still grammar errors (past tense, etc.)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being
published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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