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Dear Nehme Gebrayel,

Re: Response to reviewer’s comments on version 2 (MS: 6702738061122278)

We are delighted to hear that the manuscript “Trichotillometry: the reliability and practicality of hair pluckability as a method of nutritional assessment” will be accepted after the minor corrections are addressed. We have revised version 2 of the manuscript with respect to the comments from the reviewers. Details of the changes made in response to the reviewer’s comments are provided below. We hope that this will be to their satisfaction.

Yours sincerely,

Laura Wyness.
Responses to Reviewers comments (Reviewer Sue Green)

Comments: The background suggests that there isn’t a method that can be used to assess for nutritional status in complex emergencies, however, mid-upper arm circumference and weight can be (and are) used.

Background section, end of paragraph 1
Deleted text:
“There is no agreed practical and acceptable method to assess the nutritional status of adults that can be used in complex emergencies.”

Added text:
“Mid-upper arm circumference and weight can be (and are) used in complex emergencies, although there remains some debate regarding the appropriate cut-off measurements to indicate nutritional status.”