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Reviewer's report:

This revised manuscript is much improved over the previous version and the authors have addressed my previous concerns. There is one minor issue remaining. On page 17, the term “estimated energy requirements” should be changed to another term such as “estimated total energy expenditure”. “Estimated energy requirements” have come to mean that energy requirements were estimated using the predictions provided in the Dietary Reference Intakes. However, the authors used a different (and acceptable) method for predicting energy requirement. To avoid misunderstanding or mix-up, any descriptive term other than “estimated energy requirements” can be used.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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