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Reviewer's report:

1. Abstract, first paragraph: The authors use the term “ADI” but in the text “RDA”. I suggest using consistent wording and also spell out the abbreviation first time used.

2. Abstract, last paragraph: What are the authors meaning with “implementation measures”?

3. Introduction, first paragraph: Could the authors add any statistics on how many in Switzerland are using MVMs?

4. Introduction, first paragraph, first sentence: Unclear what the authors mean?

5. Introduction, first paragraph, second sentence: are there more updated data on this than those from 2005?

6. Introduction, first paragraph, last sentence: The authors might want to add that a definition of MVMs is also of importance in research?

7. Methods, first paragraph, last sentence: I suggest to delete this sentence?

8. Methods, second paragraph: Posology is word that is in my opinion the most appropriate word in this sense.

9. Discussion, first paragraph, third sentence: what are the authors referring to when stating “vitamins and mineral of interest”? Do they mean essential vitamins?

10. Discussion, first paragraph, last five sentences: Unclear what the authors mean?

11. Discussion, second paragraph, first four sentences: Repeating what has already been said before.

12. Discussion, fourth paragraph: But aren’t these patients already aware of this?

13. Discussion, page 7, second sentence: Unclear what the authors mean?

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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