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Reviewer's report:

Overall the manuscript is much improved and the authors have addressed my previous comments.

Comments

Minor essential:
Last paragraph of page 4, line 6 says "no significant gender differences" but I think you meant difference by obesity

Discretionary:
1. It would be helpful to readers to hear your perspective on why you conclude that a large proportion of US adults are not getting adequate Vitamin D from supplementation but the IOM says that most people get adequate Vitamin D. To highlight the differences in your conclusions would be helpful for the reader.

2. I think it would be more helpful to list percentage who took calcium with Vit D in the table and not calcium alone--since your interest in calcium and vitamin D.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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