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December 10, 2010

Nutrition Journal Editorial Team
editorial@nutritionj.com

Dear Nutrition Journal Editorial Team,

RE: MS: 3490774464349462
Dietary intake in the Personalized Medicine Research Project: a resource for studies of gene-diet interaction

We thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments. The comments have been addressed as outlined below. We hope that the manuscript will now be suitable for publication.

Referee 1
1. Validation studies are not being conducted or planned. At some stage, we would hope to readminister the DHQ. This is mentioned in the final discussion paragraph.
2. We have added information comparing the demographics or the responders and non-responders to Table 1 and a summary of the differences to the results section.
3. We do not have details about the exact supplements used, only the various nutrients that were taken via supplement.
4. “Had” has been changed to “have” in this sentence.
5. The two sentences describing units have been moved from the results to the methods.
6. Reference to IU and RE units have also been moved from the results section to the methods section.

Referee 2: No revisions suggested.

Sincerely,

Catherine A. McCarty, PhD, MPH, RD
Senior Research Scientist, Center for Human Genetics