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Reviewer's report:

An interesting study.

1. The ABSTRACT should, however, be tinkered to make it juicy and appetizing to the reader by (1) correcting some typographical errors such as population groups rather than "populations groups", scaling up contraceptive usage rather than "scaling up contraceptives", sites in six states rather than "six states sites", etc. (2) stating the the numerical values in terms of percentages or numbers to support the assertions in the result section so as to make it look more like "result" rather than "conclusion".

2. In the INTRODUCTION, there were some typographical errors too e.g., "different sources at which contraceptives are accessed could simply be put as "different sources of contraceptives", "some studies suggests"- studies suggest.

3. In the METHOD, no mention was made of the period the study was conducted.

4. In the tables COCP were sometimes referred to as contrac pills or control pills.

5. The part of the bar chart describing the sources of IUD was not uniform with that describing the sources of the other methods. Try and make it uniform by going back to the excel chart tool.

6. In the DISCUSSION the authors should include the strengths of the study and limitations that may pose a challenge to the generalisability of the results.

7. Finally I would have loved to see an attachment of the questionnaire used as a tool for this study to be sure it was a competent tool for the objectives of the study.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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