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Reviewer's report:

Major comments

This is by no means an "uninteresting" manuscript, but it does (currently) not have the format of a scientific paper, but rather the one of a "report" (with, for instance, very lengthy lists of tables, graphs and figures). The format needs to be dramatically adapted before it can be considered for review.

There is also need to provide more substantive methodological background information on what a "quick key informant survey" for "busy" senior staff actually entails. What is its value? Possibly (ideally?) the authors could give some references to use of the method in other settings.
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